Connes' Integral Formula from 1915

Oberwolfach 2026

Eva-Maria Hekkelman

Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Bonn

January 29, 2026

Szegő Limit Theorem (1915)

Let $w \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ be positive, with Fourier coefficients

$$w_n := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} w(\theta) e^{-in\theta}\, d\theta.$$

Define the Toeplitz matrices

$$T_n := \begin{pmatrix} w_0 & w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_n \\ w_{-1} & w_0 & w_1 & \cdots & w_{n-1} \\ w_{-2} & w_{-1} & w_0 & \cdots & w_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{-n} & w_{-n+1} & w_{-n+2} & \cdots & w_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\det T_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log(w(\theta))\, d\theta \right).$$

Connes' Integral Formula (1988)

Let (M, g) be a d-dimensional compact orientable Riemannian manifold. Then for any Dixmier trace Tr_ω,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(M_f(1-\Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_M f\, d\nu_g, \quad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

where Δ_g is the Laplace–Beltrami operator, ν_g the Riemannian volume form, and C_d a constant depending on the dimension d.

Summary of this talk

1. 1915: Szegő's limit theorem
2. 1979: Widom's argument
3. 1988: Connes' integral formula
4. 2025 (I): Noncommutative Szegő limit theorem
5. 2025 (II): NCG and Quantum Ergodicity

This talk is based on joint work with Edward McDonald (Université Paris-Est Créteil).

Part 1: 1915

Toeplitz Matrices

Let $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the standard basis of $L_2(\mathbb{T})$. The matrix elements of the multiplication operator M_w are

$$\langle e_n, M_w e_m\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-in\theta} w(\theta) e^{im\theta}\, d\theta = w_{n-m}.$$

The matrix elements of T_n are also of the form w_{m-n}.

Toeplitz Matrices

Let $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be the standard basis of $L_2(\mathbb{T})$. The matrix elements of the multiplication operator M_w are

$$\langle e_n, M_w e_m\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{-in\theta} w(\theta) e^{im\theta}\, d\theta = w_{n-m}.$$

The matrix elements of T_n are also of the form w_{m-n}.

We therefore have

$$T_n = P_n M_w P_n,$$

where where P_n is the orthogonal projection in $L_2(\mathbb{T})$ onto the Fourier modes $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$.

Reworking Szegő's theorem

Szegő's limit theorem gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(\det T_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\log(w(\theta))\,d\theta\right).$$

Reworking Szegő's theorem

Szegő's limit theorem gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\det T_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log(w(\theta))\,d\theta\right).$$

We have

$$\log(\det(T)) = \log\left(\prod_{\lambda_j\in\sigma(T)} \lambda_j\right) = \sum_{\lambda_j\in\sigma(T)} \log(\lambda_j) = \mathrm{Tr}(\log(T)),$$

Reworking Szegő's theorem

Szegő's limit theorem gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\det T_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log(w(\theta))\, d\theta\right).$$

We have

$$\log(\det(T)) = \log\left(\prod_{\lambda_j\in\sigma(T)} \lambda_j\right) = \sum_{\lambda_j\in\sigma(T)} \log(\lambda_j) = \mathrm{Tr}(\log(T)),$$

hence a different way to put Szegő's theorem is

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\mathrm{Tr}(\log(P_n M_w P_n)) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \log(w(\theta))\, d\theta,$$

where P_n is the orthogonal projection in $L_2(\mathbb{T})$ onto the Fourier modes $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$.

Szegő's limit theorem v2

In fact, Szegő proved a stronger statement.

Szegő's limit theorem (1915)

For $0 < w \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_n M_w P_n)) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(w(\theta))\,d\theta, \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

Truncated Spectral Triples

Spoiler warning!

Truncated Spectral Triples

Spoiler warning!

If we have a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, lots of noncommutative geometers are interested in truncated triples $(P\mathcal{A}P, P\mathcal{H}, PD)$, where e.g. $P := \chi_I(D)$ a finite-rank spectral projection (e.g. Connes–van Suijlekom, D'Andrea–Lizzi–Martinetti).

Truncated Spectral Triples

Spoiler warning!

If we have a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, lots of noncommutative geometers are interested in truncated triples $(P\mathcal{A}P, P\mathcal{H}, PD)$, where e.g. $P := \chi_I(D)$ a finite-rank spectral projection (e.g. Connes–van Suijlekom, D'Andrea–Lizzi–Martinetti).

Note that $P\mathcal{A}P$ is no longer an algebra: it is in general not closed under products. Instead, it is an *operator system*.

The Game

An interesting program in NCG is to determine what information about the triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ can be recovered from the operator system spectral triple $(P\mathcal{A}P, P\mathcal{H}, PD)$, in particular as $P \uparrow 1$.

The Game

An interesting program in NCG is to determine what information about the triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ can be recovered from the operator system spectral triple $(P\mathcal{A}P, P\mathcal{H}, PD)$, in particular as $P \uparrow 1$.

Actively studied open questions:

- Under what conditions does the state space of $P\mathcal{A}P$ equipped with the Connes distance (analogous to Wasserstein 1 metric) converge as a metric space to the state space of $\mathcal{A}$ as $P \uparrow 1$?

The Game

An interesting program in NCG is to determine what information about the triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ can be recovered from the operator system spectral triple $(P\mathcal{A}P, P\mathcal{H}, PD)$, in particular as $P \uparrow 1$.

Actively studied open questions:

- Under what conditions does the state space of $P\mathcal{A}P$ equipped with the Connes distance (analogous to Wasserstein 1 metric) converge as a metric space to the state space of $\mathcal{A}$ as $P \uparrow 1$?
- Can we recover the K-theory of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ from its truncations?

Szegő's limit theorem v2 again

In this light and in this form, Szegő's limit theorem looks promising.

Szegő's limit theorem (1915)

For $0 < w \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\frac{1}{n+1}\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_n M_w P_n)) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(w(\theta))\,d\theta, \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

Part 2: 1979

Widom’s formula

In the 1970s, Szegő’s formula received renewed attention, due to the emergence of the field of Quantum Ergodicity. Widom managed to generalise the formula to manifolds.

Widom's formula

In the 1970s, Szegő's formula received renewed attention, due to the emergence of the field of Quantum Ergodicity. Widom managed to generalise the formula to manifolds.

Widom's Szegő's limit theorem (1979)

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, $w \in C^\infty(M)$ real-valued. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_\lambda M_w P_\lambda))}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M f(w(x))\, d\nu_g(x), \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $P_\lambda = \chi_{[-\lambda,\lambda]}(\Delta_g)$.

Widom's formula

In the 1970s, Szegő's formula received renewed attention, due to the emergence of the field of Quantum Ergodicity. Widom managed to generalise the formula to manifolds.

Widom's Szegő's limit theorem (1979)

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, $w \in C^\infty(M)$ real-valued. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_\lambda M_w P_\lambda))}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(M)} \int_M f(w(x))\, d\nu_g(x), \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $P_\lambda = \chi_{[-\lambda,\lambda]}(\Delta_g)$. Furthermore, for $A \in \Psi^0(M)$ self-adjoint with principal symbol $\sigma_0(A)$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_\lambda A P_\lambda))}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} f(\sigma_0(A))\, d\mu, \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

Idea

Widom's proof is quite short.

- The first step is to prove the case where $f(x) = x$, i.e.

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda A P_\lambda)}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu,$$

  which is in fact the microlocal Weyl law.

Idea

Widom's proof is quite short.

- The first step is to prove the case where $f(x) = x$, i.e.

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda A P_\lambda)}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu,$$

  which is in fact the microlocal Weyl law.

- The next step is to do polynomials, by proving that

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}((P_\lambda A P_\lambda)^n - P_\lambda A^n P_\lambda)}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} 0.$$

Microlocal Weyl law

Weyl's law gives for a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g),

$$\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda) \sim C_d \,\mathsf{vol}(M)\lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Microlocal Weyl law

Weyl's law gives for a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g),

$$\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda) \sim C_d \, \mathrm{vol}(M) \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

There exists a *local* version of Weyl's law, which gives for $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M_f P_\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\lambda)} \langle e_n, M_f e_n \rangle \sim C_d \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_M f \, d\nu_g, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Microlocal Weyl law

Weyl's law gives for a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g),

$$\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda) \sim C_d \operatorname{vol}(M)\lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

There exists a *local* version of Weyl's law, which gives for $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(M_f P_\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\lambda)} \langle e_n, M_f e_n \rangle \sim C_d \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_M f \, d\nu_g, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Or, even, a *microlocal* Weyl law, which states for $A \in \Psi^0(M)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A P_\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(\lambda)} \langle e_n, A e_n \rangle \sim C_d \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A) \, d\mu, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Part 3: 1988

Dixmier traces

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. An eigenvalue sequence of a compact operator $A \in K(\mathcal{H})$ is a sequence $\{\lambda(k, A)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the eigenvalues of A listed with multiplicity, such that $\{|\lambda(k, A)|\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing.

The usual operator trace Tr can be characterised for trace class operators $A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \subset K(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda(k, A).$$

Dixmier traces

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space. An eigenvalue sequence of a compact operator $A \in K(\mathcal{H})$ is a sequence $\{\lambda(k, A)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the eigenvalues of A listed with multiplicity, such that $\{|\lambda(k, A)|\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing.

The usual operator trace Tr can be characterised for trace class operators $A \in \mathcal{L}_1 \subset K(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda(k, A).$$

The Dixmier trace is defined on so-called weak trace class operators $A \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty} \subset K(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A) = \omega\text{-}\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log(2+n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda(k, A),$$

where $\omega \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})^*$ is an extended limit. Note that $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}$, but if $A \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A) = 0$.

Connes' integral formula

Connes proved the following.

Connes' Integral Formula

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Then for any Dixmier trace Tr_ω,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(M_f(1-\Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_M f \, d\nu_g.$$

Connes' integral formula

Connes proved the following.

Connes' Integral Formula

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Then for any Dixmier trace Tr_ω,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(M_f(1-\Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_M f \, d\nu_g.$$

Or stronger, for $A \in \Psi^0_{cl}(M)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1-\Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A) \, d\mu.$$

Connes' integral formula

Connes proved the following.

Connes' Integral Formula

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold, $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$. Then for any Dixmier trace Tr_ω,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(M_f(1-\Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_M f\, d\nu_g.$$

Or stronger, for $A \in \Psi^0_{cl}(M)$,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1-\Delta_g)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu.$$

Connes' result is in fact even stronger, as he does not assume a Riemannian structure.

Part 4: 2025 (I)

Comparison

Now compare the first step in Widom's proof, the microlocal Weyl law

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda A P_\lambda)}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu,$$

with Connes' formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu.$$

Comparison

Now compare the first step in Widom's proof, the microlocal Weyl law

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda A P_\lambda)}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu,$$

with Connes' formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1-\Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2}}) = C_d \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu.$$

H.–McDonald

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$, D self-adjoint with compact resolvent, $P_\lambda := \chi_{[-\lambda,\lambda]}(D)$. If D satisfies Weyl's law $\lambda(k, |D|) \sim Ck^{\frac{1}{d}}$, then for all Dixmier traces Tr_ω,

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})} = \omega \circ M\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(P_{\lambda_n} A P_{\lambda_n})}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_{\lambda_n})}\right).$$

Here, $M : \ell_\infty \to \ell_\infty$ is the logarithmic averaging defined by $M(x)_n = \frac{1}{\log(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x_k}{k}$.

Truncated Spectral Triples

Our result shows that if $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is d-dimensional and D satisfies Weyl's law, then

$$P_\lambda A P_\lambda \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda A P_\lambda)}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)}$$

is a reasonable approximation of the noncommutative integral $\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})$.

Noncommutative Szegő theorem

With Widom's argument, we have a Szegő formula for NCG as well.

H.–McDonald

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$, D self-adjoint with compact resolvent. If D satisfies Weyl's law $\lambda(k, |D|) \sim Ck^{\frac{1}{d}}$, and if $[D, A]$ extends to a bounded operator, then for all Dixmier traces Tr_ω,

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(f(A)(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})} = \omega \circ M\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_{\lambda_n} A P_{\lambda_n}))}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_{\lambda_n})}\right), \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

Noncommutative Szegő theorem

With Widom's argument, we have a Szegő formula for NCG as well.

H.–McDonald

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, $A \in B(\mathcal{H})_{sa}$, D self-adjoint with compact resolvent. If D satisfies Weyl's law $\lambda(k, |D|) \sim Ck^{\frac{1}{d}}$, and if $[D, A]$ extends to a bounded operator, then for all Dixmier traces Tr_ω,

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(f(A)(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})} = \omega \circ M\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_{\lambda_n} A P_{\lambda_n}))}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_{\lambda_n})}\right), \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

In case the spectral triple has *local Weyl laws*, i.e. $\mathrm{Tr}(aP_\lambda) \sim C(a)\lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(f(a)(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})} = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(f(P_\lambda a P_\lambda))}{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)}, \quad f \in C(\mathbb{R}).$$

Noncommutative Szegő theorem

In particular, in this setting we recover Szegő's limit theorem

$$\exp\left(\frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(\log(a)(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}\right) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}(\det(P_\lambda a P_\lambda))^{\mathrm{Tr}(P_\lambda)}, \quad 0 < a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Part 5: 2025 (II)

Quantum Ergodicity

The result by Szegő was studied by Widom in the context of Quantum Ergodicity.

Quantum Ergodicity

The result by Szegő was studied by Widom in the context of Quantum Ergodicity.

This field studies a quantum mechanical analogue of ergodicity. A one-particle system described by an operator H on $L_2(M)$ is called quantum ergodic if the high energy states of H are 'smeared' over M.

Picture



Figure 1: Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on a rose-shaped domain, quantum ergodicity **unknown**.



Figure 2: Eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on the disc, **not** quantum ergodic.

QE, rigorously

Quantum Ergodicity

For a compact Riemannian manifold M and a positive self-adjoint operator Δ on $L_2(M)$ with compact resolvent, Δ is said to be quantum ergodic if for every orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of $L_2(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of Δ, there exists a density one subsequence $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{J \ni j \to \infty} \langle e_j, A e_j \rangle_{L_2(M)} \to \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu, \quad A \in \Psi^0(M),$$

where ν is a probability measure on M. In this context, a density one subsequence means that

$$\frac{\#(J \cap \{0, \dots, n\})}{n+1} \to 1, \quad n \to \infty.$$

QE as a Weyl law

We can interpret Quantum Ergodicity as a stronger microlocal Weyl law. Namely, the QE property

$$\lim_{J\ni j\to\infty} \langle e_j, Ae_j\rangle_{L_2(M)} \to \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu, \quad A \in \Psi^0(M),$$

is equivalent by the Koopman–von Neumann lemma to

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left| \langle e_n, Ae_n\rangle - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)} \int_{S^*M} \sigma_0(A)\, d\mu \right| = 0, \quad A \in \Psi^0(M).$$

QE as a Weyl law

We can interpret Quantum Ergodicity as a stronger microlocal Weyl law. Namely, the QE property

$$\lim_{J\ni j\to\infty}\langle e_j, Ae_j\rangle_{L_2(M)} \to \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)}\int_{S^*M}\sigma_0(A)\,d\mu, \quad A\in\Psi^0(M),$$

is equivalent by the Koopman–von Neumann lemma to

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N+1}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left|\langle e_n, Ae_n\rangle - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)}\int_{S^*M}\sigma_0(A)\,d\mu\right| = 0, \quad A\in\Psi^0(M).$$

This is now recognisable as a stronger version of the microlocal Weyl law

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N+1}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\langle e_n, Ae_n\rangle - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(S^*M)}\int_{S^*M}\sigma_0(A)\,d\mu = 0, \quad A\in\Psi^0(M).$$

Geodesic flow

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and denote by $SM \subseteq TM$ the tangent vectors of length 1. Then we define the geodesic flow

$$G_t : SM \to SM, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

in the usual way. By duality, we can likewise define $G_t : S^*M \to S^*M$, where $S^*M \subseteq T^*M$.

Geodesic flow

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, and denote by $SM \subseteq TM$ the tangent vectors of length 1. Then we define the geodesic flow

$$G_t : SM \to SM, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

in the usual way. By duality, we can likewise define $G_t : S^*M \to S^*M$, where $S^*M \subseteq T^*M$.

The geodesic flow is said to be *ergodic* if for every measurable function $f \in L_\infty(S^*M)$ which is fixed by the flow (i.e. $f \circ G_t = f$ almost everywhere), it must be that f is constant almost everywhere.

Fundamental theorem of QE

The fundamental theorem that started the field of Quantum Ergodicity is the following.

Theorem (Shnirelman 1974, Zelditch 1987, Colin de Verdière 1985)

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. If the geodesic flow on M is ergodic, then the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ_g is quantum ergodic.

Fundamental theorem of QE

The fundamental theorem that started the field of Quantum Ergodicity is the following.

Theorem (Shnirelman 1974, Zelditch 1987, Colin de Verdière 1985)

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold. If the geodesic flow on M is ergodic, then the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ_g is quantum ergodic.

By now, various extensions of this theorem exist. The common thread is to study geodesic flow, and translate this into asymptotic behaviour of eigenfunctions of an operator.

Noncommutative geodesic flow

Connes defined geodesic flow on spectral triples in 1996.

Noncommutative cosphere bundle

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a regular spectral triple. Let $\sigma_t : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ be defined by $A \mapsto e^{it|D|}Ae^{-it|D|}$. Then define the C^*-algebra

$$S^*\mathcal{A} := C^*\left(\bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \sigma_t(\mathcal{A}) + K(\mathcal{H})\right) \Big/ K(\mathcal{H}),$$

where σ_t descends to an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on $S^*\mathcal{A}$. If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D) \simeq (C^\infty(M), L_2(S), D_S)$, then $S^*\mathcal{A} \simeq C(S^*M)$ and σ_t is the geodesic flow.

Since ergodicity of the geodesic flow is a measure theoretic statement, we need to take one more step.

NCG ergodicity

Since ergodicity of the geodesic flow is a measure theoretic statement, we need to take one more step.

L_2-cosphere bundle

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a regular spectral triple where D satisfies Weyl's law. Then

$$\tau(A + K(\mathcal{H})) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(A(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}, \quad A + K(\mathcal{H}) \in S^*\mathcal{A},$$

defines a finite positive trace on $S^*\mathcal{A}$. Then define $L_2(S^*\mathcal{A})$ as the Hilbert space of the GNS representation of $S^*\mathcal{A}$ corresponding to τ.

The geodesic flow σ_t on $S^*\mathcal{A}$ descends to a unitary operator on $L_2(S^*\mathcal{A})$.

We can now naively put forward a definition of ergodic geodesic flow for spectral triples. Namely, we say that the geodesic flow σ_t is ergodic on $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ if the only σ_t-invariant element of $L_2(S^*\mathcal{A})$ is the identity.

We can now naively put forward a definition of ergodic geodesic flow for spectral triples. Namely, we say that the geodesic flow σ_t is ergodic on $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ if the only σ_t-invariant element of $L_2(S^*\mathcal{A})$ is the identity.

NCG QE (H.–McDonald)

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ be a d-summable regular spectral triple where D satisfies Weyl's law, and with local Weyl laws. If the geodesic flow on $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is ergodic, then D is quantum ergodic. That is, for every basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ consisting of eigenvectors of D, there exists a density one subset $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{J \ni j \to \infty} \langle e_j, a e_j \rangle = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega(a(1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}{\mathrm{Tr}_\omega((1+D^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}})}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Thanks

Thanks for listening!